REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

Date of entry in Register:	16 December 1999			
Name of applicant/court:	The District Court at Christchurch			
Applicant to the Labelling Body:	Not Applicable			
Title of Publication:	youngster11.jpg			
Other Known Titles:	DIA Exhibit #17			
Director:	Not Applicable			
Producer:	Not Applicable			
Publisher:	Not Applicable			
Author:	Not Applicable			
Format:	Computer Image File			
Country of Origin:	Not Applicable			
Language:	Not Applicable			
Components of film originally examined: Not Applicable.				
Feature:	Running time:			
Trailers:	Running time:			
	Total Running time:			
Excision/Alteration: Not	Applicable.			
Reason(s) for Excision:				
Not Applicable.				

Direction to issue a label has been given on:	Not Applicable.	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • •	 • • •
Not Applicable.		
Descriptive Note:		
Not Applicable.		
Display Conditions:		
Objectionable.		
Classification Decision:		

SUMMARY OF THE REASONS FOR DECISION:

The publication *youngster11.jpg* is classified as: Objectionable.

This classification is because the computer image file promotes and supports the exploitation of children for sexual purposes.

The computer image file contains a colour photograph of children engaging in sexual activity. It shows two naked boys on a bed. One boy is masturbating the erect penis of the other boy who is reading a magazine. A website address and a small piece of mostly illegible text appear at the bottom of the page.

The photograph presents children as sexually active and available, and enjoying sex with other children. This strengthens and legitimises the notion of sexual activity between and with children. The image therefore promotes and supports the exploitation of children for sexual purposes and is deemed objectionable.

An objectionable classification places a limit on s14 of the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990 (NZBR Act). The publication falls under s3(2) of the Films, Videos, and Publications Classification Act 1993 which overrides s14 of the NZBR Act because it is a deeming provision which cannot be given a meaning that is consistent with the rights and freedoms contained in s14 of the NZBR Act. This limit on freedom of expression is prescribed by law.

OFLC Ref: 9901776